

Appendix B:

SRFB Amendment Request Authority Matrix

Adopted June 9, 2005, revised December 8, 2011

Sponsor may appeal any decision to the SRFB.

¹ Cost increases only may be granted if funding is available. ² Change is limited to the dollar amount. ³ Consult means the lead entity obtains a decision from its technical and citizens committees.

Amendment Request	Lead Entity	RCO Director	SRFB Subcommittee	SRFB Technical Review	SRFB	Example
All Project Types						
1. Increase project funds due to project overruns ¹	Consult ³	May approve or recommend	May approve or recommend	Available to Review Change	May approve	The site had different soil types than expected and it cost more than anticipated to do the geotechnical analysis, design, and install the culvert. The sponsor now requests an increase in SRFB funds.
2. Increase/decrease project scope (no funding change)	Consult	May approve or recommend	May approve or recommend	Available to Review Change	May approve	Sponsor planted 3,000 trees and shrubs on 3 acres of riparian habitat, as outlined in the contract. Funds remain and the sponsor wants to plant an additional 100 trees and shrubs on adjacent acres. Sponsor plans to replace two barrier culverts. After

Appendix B: SRFB Amendment Request Authority Matrix

Amendment Request	Lead Entity	RCO Director	SRFB Subcommittee	SRFB Technical Review	SRFB	Example
						designing the project, the sponsor realizes he only has funds to install one culvert. He requests a scope reduction, but still needs to use all the funds.
4. Change project type	Consult	May approve or recommend	May approve or recommend	Available to Review Change	May approve	Sponsor proposed to purchase floodplain or riparian habitat and reconnect a side channel on a portion of the site. The sponsor now proposes to only purchase the land.
5. Transfer sponsorship	Consult	May approve				Original sponsor is unable to start or complete the work and requests a different sponsor finish the project.
6. Reduce match	Consult	May approve or recommend	May approve or recommend	Available to Review Change	May approve	Sponsor received \$75,000 from SRFB and provided \$33,000 (30 percent) in match for a total project cost of \$108,000. Later, he realized he only could raise a match of \$14,000 (15 percent) for a total project cost or \$89,000. The sponsor requests a match reduction of 57 percent (\$19,000/\$33,000) and corresponding scope reduction.
Acquisition Projects						
7. Change site to a contiguous site	Consult	May approve site add / change		Available to review change		Sponsor proposed to purchase six parcels. One of the parcels is not available, and the sponsor asks to buy a different contiguous site.
8. Change site to a non-contiguous site	Consult	May approve or recommend	May approve or recommend	Available to review change	May approve	Sponsor proposed to purchase four parcels. One of the parcels is not available, and the sponsor asks to buy a different site on a different part of the river.
9. Pay more than fair market value (no increase in funding)		May approve up to 10%	May approve over 10%		May approve over 20 percent	Sponsor and landowner negotiate a purchase price above the fair market value.
Restoration Projects						
10. Significant change	Consult	May approve or	May approve or	Available to review	May	Sponsor is unable to replace a culvert at the proposed location and asks to replace a culvert on another river,

Appendix B: SRFB Amendment Request Authority Matrix

Amendment Request	Lead Entity	RCO Director	SRFB Subcommittee	SRFB Technical Review	SRFB	Example
in the project location		recommend	recommend	change	approve	WRIA, or to benefit different fish.
Studies/Assessments Projects						
11. Significant change in the location of study	Consult	May approve or recommend	May approve or recommend	Available to review change	May approve	Sponsor proposed to inventory barriers on a specific river and later asks to inventory another river, WRIA, or to benefit different fish.
12. Change type of study	Consult	May approve or recommend	May approve or recommend	Available to review change	May approve	Sponsor proposed to do an assessment on forage fish but after more research determines an inventory of barriers is more important.